

# Mark Scheme (Results)

## Summer 2018

Pearson Edexcel GCE A Level In Economics A (9EC0) Paper 03 Microeconomics and Macroeconomics

#### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

#### Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2018
Publications Code 9EC0\_03\_1806\_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2018

### **General Marking Guidance**

All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
$\hfill\Box$ There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
☐ When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Question Number		Mark
1(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1	
	Knowledge/understanding and analysis: Oligopoly (1) OR Legal monopoly (1) OR Monopoly only if monopoly POWER is implied e.g. using CR calculation (1) OR Duopoly only if duopoly POWER is implied e.g. using CR calculation (1)	
	Understanding of market structure identified, e.g. a market dominated by a few firms, or interdependent (1) Explanation of the process linking to the market structure to the action of firms e.g. high concentration means that firms may exhibit collusion, price rigidity, use of non-price competition, profits are not competed away (1)	
	Application (1+1):  2 marks for data references from Figure 1 and other sources (at least 1 mark must be related to Figure 1) e.g. Others 29%  • Concentration ratio calculation e.g.  2 firm CR is 44%  3 firm CR is 53%  4 firm CR is 66%  5 firm CR is 71% (2)  • Costa (31%) is >25% market share (2)  • Strong brand names, brand logos e.g. Caffè Nero and Costa (1) have been dominating the UK market Fig. 1/Extract A (1)  • Market power is likely to be high (1) with reason e.g. consumer loyalty (1) shown in Figure 3 as price differences tolerates/illustrate market power (1) coffee has price inelastic demand (1) so people are prepared to pay more (1)	(5)
	Figure 3 as price differences tolerates/illustrate market power (1) coffee has price inelastic demand (1) so people are	(5)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
1(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4	
	<ul> <li>Pricing strategies - must be linked to profit, and may include:</li> <li>Price leadership/price matching - some brands seem very similar in pricing e.g. use kinked demand theory</li> <li>Predatory pricing</li> <li>Limit pricing (with view to higher future profits)</li> <li>Price wars (with view to higher future profits)</li> <li>Collusion/collaboration - reward the use of game theory/pay off matrix to support this</li> <li>Undercutting close rival e.g. Costa (with view to higher profits)</li> <li>Also award, if linked to profit: sales max, exploiting economies of scale, cost cutting, or competitive pricing linked to elastic demand, price rises and/or price discrimination</li> </ul>	
	Non-pricing strategies – linked to profit, might include:  • Loyalty schemes, e.g. Starbucks only allow its own cup for reuse in store,  • Paying for central and expensive locations for stores  • Opening up new stores near to competitors to undermine the competition, e.g. at a London location there are many competitors  • Closing down non-profitable stores as rationalisation policy  • Strengthening the brand by advertising  • improving reputation for recycling washable cups/fair trade coffee, sharing with other brands not just Starbucks coffee cups which can be washed in store and re-used  • Extension of product range  • Focus on quality  NB Award collusion and BOGOF-style approaches as either pricing or non-pricing strategies.  NB For a Level 3 answer, there must be both price and non-price strategies, and reference to the context of profitability of Starbucks.	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3-5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories.  Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question.  A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6-8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
1(b) continued	<ul> <li>Weighing up of reasons for using price or non-price competition, e.g.</li> <li>Not likely to use price competition - e.g. better to collude and keep higher joint profits.</li> <li>Non-price competition can have very uncertain results/be expensive</li> <li>Effects in the short run and long run might vary e.g. rising costs lead to falling profits, but long run demand rises leading to better results</li> <li>Relative effectiveness of measures e.g. limit pricing may be static in long run and predatory pricing changes when other firms leave</li> <li>Some of these strategies are illegal and could lead to fines, which might reduce overall profitability</li> <li>Unstable nature of game theory equilibria</li> <li>The effectiveness of the competition authorities might be considered e.g. regulatory capture</li> <li>Depends on the strength of brand loyalty</li> <li>Limitations of loyalty schemes, e.g. cost, consumer behaviour.</li> </ul>	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context.  No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context.  Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number		Mark
1(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2  Knowledge (1+1) e.g.  Fall in consumption of non-renewable products (1) Rise in tax revenues (1)	
	<ul> <li>Reduction in external costs/greater environmental awareness (1)</li> <li>Soft touch regulation/allows consumer choice (1)</li> <li>Application (2 or 1+1) e.g.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Use of reusable cups as a means of reducing demand for disposable cups (i.e. a substitute, Extract C) (1)</li> <li>Extract B - 5p charge is a small proportion of £2.60 (1)</li> <li>85% reduction in plastic bags (1)</li> <li>This might be illustrated through the use of a correct supply curve shift, e.g. a fall in consumption or production of cups on x axis (1)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Analysis (1+1) e.g.</li> <li>Indirect taxes may raise prices (1)</li> <li>Increases in costs of production (1)</li> <li>Link between unit tax, tax revenue and reduction in demand for cups (1)</li> <li>A supply and demand diagram showing an indirect tax (supply shift upwards/leftwards) or an externalities diagram might be used (1)</li> <li>tax revenue could be used to subsidise other products, e.g. recyclable cups (1)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Evaluation (2 marks for any relevant point or 1+1). Points might include:</li> <li>It depends if the tax is passed on to the consumer</li> <li>Other policies may be considered e.g. new recycling specialist facilities, regulation</li> <li>PED might be used, e.g. a 5p tax on the price of a £2.60 coffee might have very little effect on demand, or, a cup of coffee may be a small percentage of consumer income, depends on the availability of substitutes</li> <li>Regressive nature of the tax – has a greater effect on</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>lower incomes</li> <li>Other approaches considered, e.g. subsidising reusable cups as in Ext B changing the technology in the recycling</li> <li>NB award two advantages. A diagram can be credited as analysis or application (1+1) up to 2 marks in total.</li> </ul>	(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
1(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9	
	Microeconomic influences may include:  Level of demand or expected demand, prospect for profit  Cost of labour, minimum wage, spare capacity in the labour market  Rent/land prices  Contestability of market/barriers to entry e.g. how long and it takes and ease of setting up business  Legal system and other legislative issues Property rights Extent of regulation Existing PED Brand loyalty Sunk costs  Macroeconomic influences may include:  Levels of competitiveness e.g. price and non-price, which might relate to competitiveness ranking, e.g. infrastructure, human capital, institutions  Macroeconomic environment, e.g. inflation Membership of EU or other trade bloc issues Value of currency Level of corporation tax. Transfer pricing possibility, as outlined in Extract A, as impact on profitability (not costs) Level/rate of national income/economic growth and spare capacity/unemployment Growth of household incomes in different countries, e.g. Chinese coffee shops are the most profitable, growing quickly in number Strength and stability of local economy Political and social stability NB competitiveness, corporation tax, incomes, unemployment and contestability can be seen as a micro or macro approach. Possible evaluation points include: Factors might balance each other out Changes in tax rates, e.g. government cutting corporation tax significantly Starbucks is starting to report UK profits – perhaps it has had too much negative publicity from the low profit tax paid, Extract A reduction by a third in sales at Starbucks. Also it is moving headquarters from Netherlands to UK Different influences affect different firms	(25)

Knowledg	Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	A completely inaccurate response.	
Level 1	1-4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.	
Level 2	5-8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories.  Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question.  A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.	
Level 3	9-12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer.  Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance.  Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.	
Level 4	13-16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples.  Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated.  Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic factors. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.	

<b>Evaluatio</b>	Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No evaluative comments.	
Level 1	1-3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.	
Level 2	4-6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.	
Level 3	7-9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context.  Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.	

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
•	Indicative content  Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9  Microeconomic effects may include:  • Effects on consumers – e.g. higher prices (or lower prices if increased competition), more consumer spending on high street. Might include PED, YED (this might be developed as evaluation)  • Effect on workers – higher incomes, more jobs • Effect on firms, e.g. increase in profits, revenue/decrease for independent non-branded coffee shops; cost of employing specific skilled workers e.g. baristas or other factors of production • Increase negative externalities e.g. more coffee cups • External economies of scale e.g. ancillary services such as cup manufacturers	Mark
	<ul> <li>Macroeconomic effects may include:         <ul> <li>Increased employment in service industry</li> <li>Balance of payments e.g. outflow of profits to Starbucks</li> <li>Effects on primary product producers</li> <li>AD increase, negative/positive multiplier effects (local businesses might lose out to branded supermarket coffee outlets), inflation/growth</li> <li>Tax implications e.g. transfer pricing</li> <li>Income inequality</li> <li>Environmental impacts, labour markets, taxation or incomes can be seen as a micro or macro approach.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Evaluation points may include: <ul> <li>Extent of negative externalities depends on whether new processing plants for cups are set up/how alternatives are produced.</li> <li>Depends on the extent of the increase in demand, PED, YED, XED – and if other areas are seeing declines.</li> <li>Depends on the size of the multiplier</li> <li>Depends on the level of spare capacity (LRAS for example)</li> <li>Depends on growth levels, point at which AD and AS meet (if Keynesian approach)</li> <li>Depends on the performance of other firms</li> <li>Depends on the extent to which local shops are displaced</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>Depends on the extent to which substitution can occur – e.g. people drink coffee instead of going to pubs</li> <li>Depends on degree of profit repatriation, remittances, transfer pricing</li> <li>Depends on whether coffee shops extends consumption spending or replaces demand for something else which might have different benefits/costs</li> </ul>	(25)
--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis			
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	A completely inaccurate response.	
Level 1	1-4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.	
Level 2	5-8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories.  Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question.  A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.	
Level 3	9-12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer.  Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance.  Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.	
Level 4	13-16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples.  Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated.  Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic factors. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.	

Evaluatio	Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No evaluative comments.	
Level 1	1-3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.	
Level 2	4-6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements.  Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.	
Level 3	7-9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context.  Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.	

Question Number		Mark
2(a)	<ul> <li>Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1</li> <li>Knowledge and analysis: <ul> <li>Terms of trade definition or formula e.g. index of export prices / index of import prices x 100 (1)</li> <li>Import prices have risen (1) (e.g. because exchange rate fell) relative to export prices (1)</li> <li>Import prices stay constant (1) relative to main export prices falling (1)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Fewer imports can be bought for the same amount of exports (2)</li> <li>Application 2 marks for e.g.</li> <li>It is likely to have worsened (1)</li> <li>Coal is the main export (1), 11% of revenues (1)</li> <li>Export prices/commodity prices have fallen Figures 4 &amp; 5 e.g. coal prices have fallen Fig 4 (1+1)</li> <li>Export price of coal has fallen from US\$125 to US\$50 per tonne (1+1)</li> <li>The exchange rate (rupiah) has fallen (1) 30% (1)</li> </ul>	
	NB award application if the answer says that the terms of trade falls, goes down, or that it is a negative change	
		(5)

Question Number		Mark			
2(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Evaluation 2				
	<b>Diagram (2) Reserve 2 marks for costs and revenue diagram.</b> This can be awarded as 2 application marks or 1AN + 1AP.				
	Knowledge and analysis (1+1): e.g.				
	<ul> <li>Increasing efficiency resulting from investment lowers costs for firms (K) which means AC falls – might also show fall in MC (A)</li> <li>Profit increases (K) with new area shown on diagram linking the new MC=MR with the AR and new AC (A)</li> </ul>				
	<b>Application (2 or 1+1):</b> answer relates to the output of farmers increasing relative to input, e.g. Productivity of each farm increases (1) as rice output doubles (1); Plans for 65 dams, 16 already under construction (1)				
	Or: 'two harvests a year' (1) means more output from same fixed land/factor (1)				
	Diagram e.g. shows a decrease in AC (if fixed costs) or decrease in AC and MC (if variable costs) e.g. as shown below. The diagram must be <b>consistent</b> with the description, although shift/non shift in MC might be implicit.				
	Rev/ Costs				
	$P_{P_2}$ $C_{C_2}$ $Q_1Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$ $Q_2$				

- 1 mark for correct shift in cost (relevant to answer given) and
- 1 mark for new correct profit area shown. Diagram may show a fall in costs and/or an increase in revenue **if linked** to double the number of harvests, or a decrease in revenue **if linked** to falling worldwide prices, more firms entering the market. Diagram may be perfect competition.

**Evaluation** (2 marks for any relevant point, or two points 1+1)

- The investment is taking many years and may not be completed
- Governments might increase tax on farmers to compensate for increased costs of dam investment
- The farmers might face other increased costs as output rises e.g. law of diminishing returns
- There may be more efficient and effective ways of increasing output, e.g. better technology, fertiliser
- Increased world supply could lower the price per unit, and with low PED the revenue and therefore profit could fall.

(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
2(c)	<ul> <li>Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4</li> <li>Specific impact on Indonesia:         <ul> <li>Recovery from the Tsunami / financial crisis (Extract D);</li> <li>impact of reconstruction investment;</li> <li>impact on the likely poverty trap that many Indonesians will find themselves in;</li> <li>the impact of the cash transfer;</li> <li>the long term problems of conditional loans;</li> <li>the long term impact of constructing two new power stations (via Japan's development agency)</li> <li>lack of tax revenue due to inefficient tax collection and low tax base (10%) Ext E.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Aid is the transfer of resources on concessional terms – allow wide variety of aid e.g. from international institutions such as the IMF/World Bank, from NGOs, concessional loans (interest paid is below market rates, or with softer repayment conditions than in free market)</li> <li>Aid is being used to pay for education and health, transfers of cash, \$2.3 billion a year</li> <li>Consideration of macro effects, e.g. multiplier effects, shifts in AD, equality</li> <li>Consideration of development theory, e.g. Harrod-Domar, plugging the savings gap / foreign exchange gap</li> </ul>	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.  Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples.  Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3-5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories.  Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question.  A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6-8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
2(c) continued	<ul> <li>Aid can lead to moral hazard, overdependence, corruption, poor governance e.g. Ext E tax incentives offered by Mr Widodo might widen inequality</li> <li>Dependency theory might be use to show the problems of aid</li> <li>Alternative to aid e.g. debt relief, microfinance, investment by TNCs, loan from the World Bank</li> <li>Conditions attached to aid may have negative consequences, e.g. not clear that the loans offered in Extract D are concessionary, or interest rates may still have a high opportunity cost</li> <li>Aid may be more effective if used in conjunction with supply side measures</li> <li>The concessionary loans need to be paid back, Extract E. These can increase a country's indebtedness and exposure to risk, and could affect government finance, where tax collection is inefficient and tax base (10%) is small.</li> </ul>	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context.  No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context.  Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark		
2(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9			
	<ul> <li>Microeconomic effects might include:         <ul> <li>Producer revenue from exports is volatile from primary products. Firms might close down when prices fall.</li> <li>Other primary product dependency arguments – a diagram showing low PED and PES might be used.</li> <li>Labour market – impact on wages and employment</li> <li>Diagram showing effects on revenue, consumer/producer surplus, price, profits might be used</li> <li>Local firms might go out of business</li> </ul> </li> <li>Macroeconomic effects might include:         <ul> <li>Balance of payments problems – Prebisch-Singer (declining terms of trade) might be used for products such as coal in reference to falling prices (NB this only addresses part of the volatility issue)</li> <li>Government finances – the government might rely on export taxes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Primary product dependency/over-dependence on one sector can cause problems if natural disaster or changes in worldwide demand, e.g. China slowdown</li> <li>Dutch Disease impact on exchange rate – e.g. higher value of rupiah when coal prices rise</li> <li>Increased use of protectionism</li> <li>Inflation (Extract E)</li> <li>Limits investment (might link to Harrod Domar model)</li> <li>Limits government and private expenditure on health and education</li> </ul>			
	NB Accept infrastructure, labour markets, tax incentives, inequality and poverty as micro or macroeconomics, if developed appropriately.			
	NB for a Level 4 answer there must be reference to the context of <i>Indonesia</i> and <i>volatility</i> .			
	<ul> <li>Evaluation points might include:         <ul> <li>Degree to which GDP is dependent on commodity exports. Data suggests Indonesia GDP has not suffered as much as other countries from the fall in commodity/coal prices (Extract E) and Figures 4 and 5</li> <li>Depends on the PED and/or YED of importing countries for the specific commodities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

- Fiscal expansion (Extract E) has offset the effects of falling prices
- There may be no alternative to primary product dependency/Lewis model is flawed
- Comparative advantage argument
- The reduction in prices might be short term/there are benefits to rising prices/depends if it is just one commodity which distorts the exchange rate for other commodities ('Dutch disease')
- Buffer stock schemes
- Financial markets can help limit effects, e.g. through futures contracts
- Improved infrastructure can help farmers be more aware of price trends and take products to markets at high prices

(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis			
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	A completely inaccurate response.	
Level 1	1-4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.	
Level 2	5-8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories.  Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question.  A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.	
Level 3	9-12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer.  Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance.  Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.	
Level 4	13-16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples.  Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated.  Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic factors. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.	

<b>Evaluatio</b>	Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No evaluative comments.	
Level 1	1-3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.	
Level 2	4-6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.	
Level 3	7-9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context.  Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.	

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
2(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9	
	Microeconomic effects might include:	
	<ul> <li>on firms e.g. from quicker planning approvals, tax incentives locating to special economic zones, better roads and ports</li> <li>improved quality of labour force e.g. from education and health reforms (Extract D)</li> <li>lower bureaucratic costs</li> <li>lower prices as competition increases</li> </ul>	
	Macroeconomic effects might include:	
	<ul> <li>lower inflation (might be shown by rightwards or downwards shift of LRAS)</li> <li>improved balance of payments as exports improve</li> <li>infrastructure improvements attracts FDI</li> <li>indirect increased AD via G, I or X, and multiplier effects</li> <li>increase in real GDP</li> </ul>	
	NB Accept infrastructure, labour markets, tax incentives, inequality and poverty as micro or macroeconomics if developed appropriately.	
	NB for a Level 4 answer there must be reference to the context of <i>Indonesia</i> .	
	<ul> <li>Evaluation points might include:</li> <li>impact will depend on success of policies – may refer to previous underinvestment in the Extract E, or higher investment in neighbouring countries</li> <li>Some supply side policies conflict with other policies, e.g. fiscal and there is a fiscal constraint by law (Extract E)</li> <li>depends on whether supply side policies are interventionist or market-based as to whether there will be an inflationary effect, crowding out effect etc.</li> <li>labour market still appears to be inflexible (Extract E)</li> <li>collecting tax to pay for the capital spending or repayment of loans can have negative impact, e.g. government failure, aid dependency</li> </ul>	(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis			
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	A completely inaccurate response.	
Level 1	1-4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.	
Level 2	5-8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories.  Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question.  A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.	
Level 3	9-12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models.  Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer.  Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.	
Level 4	13-16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic factors. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.	

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4-6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7-9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context.  Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.