

# Mark Scheme (Results)

# Summer 2022

Pearson Edexcel GCE Mathematics Advanced Subsidiary Level in Mathematics Paper 21 8MA0/21 Statistics

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

#### Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

June 2022 Publications Code 8MA0\_21\_2206\_MS All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2022

#### **General Marking Guidance**

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

### EDEXCEL GCE MATHEMATICS

# General Instructions for Marking

- 1. The total number of marks for the paper is 75.
- 2. The Edexcel Mathematics mark schemes use the following types of marks:
- **M** marks: method marks are awarded for `knowing a method and attempting to apply it', unless otherwise indicated.
- A marks: Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
- **B** marks are unconditional accuracy marks (independent of M marks)
- Marks should not be subdivided.
- 3. Abbreviations

These are some of the traditional marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- bod benefit of doubt
- ft follow through
- the symbol  $\sqrt{}$  will be used for correct ft
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only. There must be no errors in this part of the question to obtain this mark
- isw ignore subsequent working
- awrt answers which round to
- SC: special case
- oe or equivalent (and appropriate)
- dep dependent
- indep independent
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- **\*** The answer is printed on the paper
- The second mark is dependent on gaining the first mark
- 4. All A marks are 'correct answer only' (cao.), unless shown, for example, as A1 ft to indicate that previous wrong working is to be followed through. After a misread however, the subsequent A marks affected are treated as A ft, but manifestly absurd answers should never be awarded A marks.

Qu	Scheme	Marks	AO		
<b>1.</b> (a)	Negative (since gradient of regression line is negative)	B1	1.2		
		(1)			
<b>(b)</b>	$\frac{1}{2}$	D1	2.22		
(0)	$cm/day$ (o.e. e.g. $cm day^{-1}$ )	B1	2.2a		
		(1)			
( <b>c</b> )	3×[±]1.1	M1	3.4		
	= decrease of 3.3 [cm]	A1	1.1b		
		(2)	1.10		
( <b>d</b> )	19 is (well) outside the range [1, 10] <u>or</u> involves extrapolation (o.e.)	B1	2.4		
	so (possibly) unreliable/ inaccurate (o.e.)	(1)			
		(5 mark	s)		
	Notes				
	Answers may be written within the question.				
<b>(a)</b>	B1 for stating "negative". Allow a correct interpretation e.g. as <i>t</i> increases then <i>p</i> decreases (o.e.) [ignore any values]				
	B0 for contradictory statements e.g. "negative correlation since as t increases				
		Γ			
<b>(b</b> )	B1 for a correct description of the units (allow fraction, /, or "per" and allow	v "d" for "o	day")		
(c)	M1 for attempt at a calculation (allow use of $t = x$ and $t = x + 3$ followed by	subtraction	n		
(0)	that should lead to 3.3)	subtraction	1		
	A1 for correct description must include word "decrease" (o.e.) and value "				
	Just seeing: $22-1.1 \times 3 = 18.7$ is MOA0 BUT going on to subtract 18.7 from 22 scores M1				
	Reaching 3.3 <u>and</u> stating "decrease" or "reduced" (o.e.) will score the A1 too An answer of $-3.3$ without a word describing decrease (o.e.) will just score M1A0				
	An answer of – 5.5 without a word describing decrease (0.e.) win just s				
( <b>d</b> )	B1 for stating "unreliable" (o.e.) and giving a suitable reason based on idea of extrapolation				
	Must have <b>both</b> statement about reliability <b>and</b> suitable reason e.g. $t = 10$		g <u>or</u>		
	(Model is based on) t between 1 and 10 (only) [since this implies $t = 19$ Allow e.g. (model) "may not work" because of "extrapolation"	is too big]			
	Just saying "no" since "extrapolation" is B0 but "unreliable"(o.e.) since "	extrapolation	on" is B1		

2. (a) $[D = \text{number of bags that are damp]} D ~ B(35, 0.08)$ NB 0.08 = $\frac{2}{25}$ M1       3.3         (i) $P(D = 2) = 0.2430497$ awrt 0.243       A1       3.4         (ii) $P(D = 3) = [1 - P(D, 3) = 1 - 0.69397] = 0.30602$ awrt 0.306       A1       1.1b         (ii) $P(D > 3) = [1 - P(D, 3) = 1 - 0.69397] = 0.30602$ awrt 0.306       A1       1.1b         (b) $H_0 : p = 0.08$ $H_1 : p < 0.08$ B1       2.5 $[X - ]$ B(70, 0.08) $[P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756$ awrt 0.0074       A1       1.1b $[0.074 < 0.10$ so significant, reject $H_0$ so]       A1       1.1b       1.1b $[0.074 < 0.10$ so significant, reject $H_0$ so]       A1       2.2b       (4)         (c) $T$ marks)       M1       for secing a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08)       [Condone B(0.08, 35)]         (a)       M1       for secing $\left(\frac{35}{2}\right) 0.08^2 \times (1 - 0.08\right)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0       (1) <sup>41</sup> 4.1       for awrt 0.243         (ii) $2^{ad}$ A1 for awrt 0.243 $2^{ad}$ A0 but would of course score M1       M1         (b)       B1       for both hypotheses correct in terms of $p$ or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08]       M1 <td< th=""><th>Qu</th><th>Scheme</th><th>Mark</th><th>AO</th></td<>	Qu	Scheme	Mark	AO		
(ii) $P(D > 3) = [1 - P(D_n, 3) = 1 - 0.69397] = 0.30602 awrt 0.306       A1       1.1b         (b)       H_0: p = 0.08 H_1: p < 0.08       B1       2.5         [X ~] B(70, 0.08)       B1       2.5         [X ~] B(70, 0.08)       M1       2.1         [P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074       A1       1.1b         [0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject H_0 so]       A1       1.1b         [0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject H_0 so]       A1       2.2b         (d)       (7 marks)       (1 a)       A1       2.2b         (a)       M1       for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08)       [Condone B(0.08, 35)]         May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D_n, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow       0.693)       0.693         or       seeing \begin{pmatrix} 35 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} 0.08^2 \times (1 - 0.08)^{35-2}       Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0       11st A1 for awrt 0.243         (ii)       1st A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a       P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1         (b)       B1       for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or \pi [Condone 8% for 0.08]       M1       for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70) ]       May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better       <$			M1			
(b) $H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_1: p < 0.08$ [X ~] B(70, 0.08) [P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 [P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 [1.11b] [0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject H0 so] there is evidence to support supplier B's claim (o.e.) (A1 2.2b (A) (7  marks) (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) $\underline{or}$ seeing $\begin{pmatrix} 35\\2 \end{pmatrix} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 M1 for sight or correct use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of $p$ or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow 0.07 if X-B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0	(i)	P(D=2) = 0.2430497 awrt <b>0.243</b>	A1	3.4		
(b) $H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_1: p < 0.08$ [X ~1 B(70, 0.08) [P(X, , 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 [P(X, , 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 A1 1.1b [0.074 < 0.10  so significant, reject Ho so] there is evidence to support supplier <i>B</i> 's claim (o.e.) A1 (2.2b (7  marks) (7 marks) (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D, , 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2}$ 0.08 <sup>2</sup> × (1-0.08) <sup>35-2</sup> Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of <i>p</i> or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc MR (0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0	( <b>ii</b> )	$P(D > 3) = [1 - P(D_{,,} 3) = 1 - 0.69397] = 0.30602 awrt 0.306$	A1	1.1b		
(b) $H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_1: p < 0.08$ [X ~1 B(70, 0.08) [P(X, , 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 [P(X, , 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 A1 1.1b [0.074 < 0.10  so significant, reject Ho so] there is evidence to support supplier <i>B</i> 's claim (o.e.) A1 (2.2b (7  marks) (7 marks) (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D, , 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2}$ 0.08 <sup>2</sup> × (1-0.08) <sup>35-2</sup> Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of <i>p</i> or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc MR (0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			(3)			
$\begin{bmatrix} P(X, 2) = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 \\ [0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject H_0 so] \\ there is evidence to support supplier B's claim (o.e.) \\ A1 2.2b (4) \\ (7 marks) \\ (5) \\ (6) \\ (5) \\ (6) \\ (5) \\ (6) \\ (5) \\ (6) \\ (7) \\$	<b>(b)</b>	$H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_1: p < 0.08$		2.5		
$\begin{bmatrix} [0.074 < 0.10 \text{ so significant, reject } H_0 \text{ so}] \\ \text{there is evidence to support supplier } \underline{B's \text{ claim}}(\text{o.e.}) \\ A1 \\ (4) \\ (7 \text{ marks}) \\ \hline (4) \\ (7 \text{ marks}) \\ \hline (4) \\ (7 \text{ marks}) \\ \hline (7 \text{ marks}) \\ \hline (3) \\ \text{M1}  \text{for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08)} \\ \text{May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D_{,,} 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) \\ \underline{or}  \text{seeing} \left(\frac{35}{2}\right) 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2} \\ \text{Saying B(35, 8\%) without a correct calculation would score M0} \\ \hline (1) \\ 1^{st} \text{ A1 for awrt 0.243} \\ \hline (2)^{ad} \text{ A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 \text{ scores } 2^{ad} \text{ A0 but would of course score M1} \\ \hline (b) \\ B1  \text{for both hypotheses correct in terms of } p \text{ or } \pi \text{ [Condone 8\% for 0.08]} \\ M1  \text{for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)]} \\ May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better \\ 1^{st} \text{ A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 \\ Can allow 0.07 \text{ if } X-B(70, 0.08) and P(X_{,,2}) are both seen] \\ 2^{nd} \text{ A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for \\ or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2^{nd} \text{ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H_0 so evidence to support B's clain 2^{nd} \text{ A0 if you see } 0.0739 < 0.08 \text{ so significant/ reject H_0 etc} \\ \mathbf{MR} \\ \mathbf{0.8 for 0.08} \\ \text{In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0} \\ \end{bmatrix}$		[ <i>X</i> ~] B(70, 0.08)	M1	2.1		
there is evidence to support supplier B's claim (o.e.)A1 (4)2.2b (4)NotesNotes(a)M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0(i)1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.2432 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243(ii)2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1(b)B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better1 <sup>st</sup> A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen]2 <sup>nd</sup> A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A $2nd$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain $2nd$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0		[P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt <b>0.074</b>	A1	1.1b		
(a) Notes Notes (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 <sup>2nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [Can allow 0.07 if X-B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept Ho so evidence to support B's clain 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0		[0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject H <sub>0</sub> so]				
Notes(a)M1for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08)[Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693)orseeing $\binom{35}{2}$ 0.08² × (1-0.08) $^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0(i)1st A1for awrt 0.243(ii)2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1(b)B1for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08]M1for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better1st A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen]2nd A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0		there is evidence to support supplier <u>B's claim</u> (o.e.)		2.2b		
Notes(a)M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) $\underline{or}$ seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0(i) $1^{st}$ A1 for awrt 0.243 $2^{nd}$ A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2^{nd} A0 but would of course score M1(b)B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better $1^{st}$ A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] $2^{nd}$ A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A $2^{nd}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain $2^{nd}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			· · · ·	l-a)		
<ul> <li>(a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693)</li> <li><u>or</u> seeing <sup>35</sup>/<sub>2</sub> 0.08<sup>2</sup> × (1-0.08)<sup>35-2</sup> Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0</li> <li>(i) 1<sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243</li> <li>(ii) 2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1</li> <li>(b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X , 2 provided [P(X , 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X , 2) are both seen]</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention <u>claim</u> or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> <u>proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> </ul>		Notes	(/ mar	KS)		
May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X , 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is see [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> or <u>proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0	(a)					
<ul> <li>or seeing \$\begin{pmatrix} 35 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}\$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 </li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243 </li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1 </li> <li>(b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] </li> <li>M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better </li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] </li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc </li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 </li> </ul>	()					
Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0(i) $1^{st}$ A1 for awrt 0.243 $2^{nd}$ A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(D = 3) = 0.306$ i.e. just mark a $P(D3) = 0.539$ scores $2^{nd}$ A0 but would of course score M1(b)B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better $1^{st}$ A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$ Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$ (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen] $2^{nd}$ A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A $2^{nd}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain $2^{nd}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0						
<ul> <li>(i) 1<sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.243</li> <li>(ii) 2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1</li> <li>(b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen]</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>		$\underline{\text{or}}  \text{seeing} \left( \frac{35}{2} \right) 0.08^2 \times \left( 1 - 0.08 \right)^{35-2}$				
<ul> <li>(ii) 2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark a P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 but would of course score M1</li> <li>(b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen]</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>						
NB $P(D3) = 0.539$ scores $2^{nd}$ A0 but would of course score M1(b)B1for both hypotheses correct in terms of $p$ or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08]M1for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08)[Condone B(0.08, 70)]May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better1st A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$ Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$ (or better) is set[ Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen] $2^{nd}$ A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or $\underline{B}$ and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or $A$ $2^{nd}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept Ho so evidence to support $B$ 's clain $2^{nd}$ A0 if you see $0.0739 < 0.08$ so significant/ reject Ho etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0						
<ul> <li>(b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen]</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>		P(D = 2) = 0.520 even $P(D = 3) = 0.306$ i.e. just mark ans)				
M1for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08)[Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better $1^{st}$ A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$ Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$ (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen] $2^{nd}$ A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A $2^{nd}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain $2^{nd}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0	NB	$P(D \dots S) = 0.559$ scores 2 Ao but would of course score M1				
M1for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08)[Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better $1^{st}$ A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$ Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$ (or better) is se [ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen] $2^{nd}$ A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A $2^{nd}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain $2^{nd}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0	<b>(b)</b>	B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of $p$ or $\pi$ [Condone 8% for 0.08]	81			
May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better $1^{st}$ A1for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$ Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$ (or better) is se $[Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen]$ $2^{nd}$ A1(dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or <i>B</i> and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A $2^{nd}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support <i>B</i> 's claim $2^{nd}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etcMR0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			2			
<ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is se [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen]</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention <u>claim</u> or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> <u>or proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of <u>less</u> than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>[ Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen]</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention <u>claim</u> or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> or <u>proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of <u>less</u> than 8% or A</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>		1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2)$				
<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention <u>claim</u> or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> <u>proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of <u>less</u> than 8% or A 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>		Can allow this mark for CR of X , 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$	(or better	) is seen		
Must mention claim or <u>B</u> and idea of support for         or       proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A         2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain         2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc         MR         0.8 for 0.08         In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0		[Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen]				
or       proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A         2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H <sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's clain         2 <sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc         MR         0.8 for 0.08         In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			e in conte	ext		
<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H<sub>0</sub> so evidence to support B's claim 2<sup>nd</sup> A0 if you see 0.0739 &lt; 0.08 so significant/ reject H<sub>0</sub> etc</li> <li>MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0</li> </ul>						
$\begin{array}{c c} 2^{nd} & A0 \text{ if you see } 0.0739 < 0.08 \text{ so significant/ reject } H_0 \text{ etc} \\ \hline \textbf{MR} & \textbf{0.8 for } \textbf{0.08} \\ & \text{In (a) allow } M1 \text{ for } B(35, 0.8) \text{ then } A0A0 \end{array}$				s claim"		
In (a) allow M1 for $B(35, 0.8)$ then A0A0		$2^{nd}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H <sub>0</sub> etc	PPort D			
	MR					
In (b) allow B1 for Hypotheses and M1 for $B(70, 0.8)$ seen, then A0A0			0			
		In (b) allow B1 for Hypotheses and M1 for $B(70, 0.8)$ seen, then A0A	10			

Qu		Sche	me	Mark	AO
3. (a)	Class 0 - 1	Frequency 15	Cum. Frequency	M1	2.1
	$     \begin{array}{r}         1-2 \\         2-3.5 \\         3.5-4.5     \end{array} $	35 75 55	50 125 180	A1	1.1b
	$[Q_2 =](3.5) + \frac{\frac{256}{2} - "125}{"55"}$		<u><b>r</b></u> (4.5) $-\frac{"180"-\frac{256}{2}}{"55"} \times 1$	M1	2.1
	= 3.5545	awrt <u>3.55</u>		A1 (4)	1.1b
(b)	Need area under curve to	o be 256 so	$\int_{(0)}^{(8)} kx(8-x)  \mathrm{d}x = 256$	M1	3.1a
	$k \left[ 4x^2 - \frac{x^3}{3} \right]_{(0)}^{(8)} = 256$			M1	1.1b
		$\left\{k\left[4\times8^2-\frac{8}{3}\times8\right]\right\}$	$\begin{bmatrix} k^2 \end{bmatrix} = 256 \Longrightarrow $ $\underline{k = 3}$	A1	1.1b
( <b>c</b> )	[By symmetry median =	] <u>4</u>		(3) B1 (1)	2.2a
				(1) (8 mar	·ks)
			Notes		
(a)			ey table (at least 1 <sup>st</sup> 4 rows and correct and can condone one error		
		1 ·	e seen on bars of the histogram		, 55, 55)
	1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for identifying class, freq and cum freq (i.e. highlighted values from the table) or sight of 3.5-4.5, freq of 55 and "128" – 125 or 180 – "128"				
	<u>or</u> diagram with May be implied				
	May be implied by values in $2^{nd}$ M1expression $2^{nd}$ M1 for a correct calculation for $Q_2$ (condone error in end point e.g. 3.45 or 3.49 etc)				
	Can ft their "12:	5" (provided >	100) and their "55"	-	
		•	ee $128.5 - \dots$ leading to $3.563$		
	2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 awrt 3.55 but 3.555 is fine (allow 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed sight of $\frac{257}{2}$ etc) Correct answer with no incorrect working scores 4/4				$\frac{1}{2}$ etc)
(b)	$1^{\text{st}}$ M1 for identifying the need to find the area under the curve by integrating $2^{\text{nd}}$ M1 for correct integration and = 256 (condone missing limits)				
	A1 for $k = 3$ [M	lay see use of c	alculator for the integration so	score 2 <sup>nd</sup> M1A1	together]
(c)		-	(c) may be written within the use of k but must be their "x" van	-	
	· •	x = 4 giv	es $y = 4$ so must be clear they		4

<b>4.</b> (a)	Accept 990 to 1030 inclusive	B1	1.1b
(b)	Any range between 10 and 50 inclusive	(1) B1 (1)	1.1b
		(2 marks)	
	Notes		
(a)	B1 (Median pressures usually around 1000~1020)	[LD	S mark]
(b)	B1 Any answer in this range Allow answers in the form $a \sim b$ where $ b-a $ is between 10 and 50 Also allow the case where <u>both</u> a and b are in [10, 50]	[LD	S mark]

Qu	Scheme	Mark	AO		
5. (a)(i)	Require $R = 3$ and $G = 4$ so probability is $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$	M1	2.1		
	$=\frac{1}{4}$ or <b><u>0.25</u></b>	A1	1.1b		
(ii)	[ <i>R</i> must be 2 and <i>G</i> = 1 so $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ ] = $\frac{1}{6}$	A1	1.1b		
(b)	P(X = 50) = 0.25 must mean $R = 3$ and $G = 4so 3m + 4n = 50$	(3) M1 A1	3.1a 1.1b		
	P(X = 20) = $\frac{1}{6} \implies R = 2, G = 1$ so $2m + n = 20$ Solving: $3m + 4(20 - 2m) = 50$ (o.e.)	A1 M1	2.1 1.1b		
	$\underline{m=6}$ and $\underline{n=8}$	A1 (5)	3.2a		
	Notes (8 marks)				
(a)(i)	M1 for sight of $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ as a single product BUT allow e.g. $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{4}$ to score M1 However if the products are later added e.g. $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ it is M0				
(ii)	May be implied by one correct answer to (i) or (ii) A1 for $\frac{1}{4}$ or 0.25 or exact equivalent (allow 25%) A1 for $\frac{1}{6}$ or exact equivalent				
(b)	For the 1 <sup>st</sup> 4 marks condone incorrect labelling e.g. <i>R</i> for <i>m</i> or <i>G</i> for <i>n</i> if in 1 <sup>st</sup> M1 for identifying either set of cases ( $R = 2$ , $G = 1$ , $X = 20$ ) or ( $R = 3$ , $G = Allow 1^{st}$ M1 for P( $X = 20$ ) = $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ or P( $X = 50$ ) = $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$ NOT just P( $X = 1$ )	4, X = 50)			
	<u>or</u> $\frac{1}{4}m + \frac{2}{3}n = 20$ or $\frac{3}{4}m + \frac{1}{3}n = 50$ and might score $2^{nd}$ M1 (answer is $m = 64, n = 6$ ) <u>or</u> $\frac{1}{4}m + \frac{2}{3}n = \frac{1}{6}$ or $\frac{3}{4}m + \frac{1}{3}n = \frac{1}{4}$ and might score $2^{nd}$ M1 (answer is $m = \frac{4}{15}, n = \frac{3}{20}$ ) <u>or</u> $2m + n = \frac{1}{6}$ or $3m + 4n = \frac{1}{4}$ and might score $2^{nd}$ M1 (answer is $m = \frac{1}{12}, n = 0$ ) <u>or</u> $2m + n = 50$ and $3m + 4n = 20$ and might score $2^{nd}$ M1 (answer is $m = 36, n = -22$ )				
Calc	1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for one correct equation 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for <b>both</b> correct equations and no incorrect equations, unless they attempt to solve the correct 2 equations only 2 <sup>nd</sup> M1 for attempt to solve <u>their</u> two linear equations in <i>m</i> and <i>n</i> (reduce to an equation in one variable, condone one sign error). May be implied by $m = 6$ and $n = 8$ . If they use one of the 4 sets of equations for 1 <sup>st</sup> M1 and use a calculator to write down the answer, we will allow this mark for sight of the correct answers to those equations as given above.				
	$3^{rd}$ A1 $m = 6$ and $n = 8$ only (no incorrect labelling here) Correct answer by trial can score 5/5 if no incorrect working seen.				