

**GCE** 

**Geography** 

H481/03: Geographical debates

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for Autumn 2021

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2021

# **Annotations**

Annotation	Meaning
	Highlight
	Off page comment
^	Omission mark
?	Unclear or Indicates material for which there is no credit
R	Rubric error placed at start of response not being counted
L1	Level 1
L2	Level 2
L3	Level 3
L4	Level 4
5	Synoptic link
DEV	Development of a point
IRRL	Significant amount of material which doesn't answer the question
SEEN	Used to denote that points had been seen and noted but mostly where credit was given
NE	No place specific detail
3	Highlighting an issue e.g. irrelevant paragraph. Use in conjunction with another stamp e.g. <b>EVAL</b>
BP	Blank page
EVAL	Evaluation

# **Subject Specific Marking Instructions**

#### INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet Instructions for Examiners. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

### **USING THE MARK SCHEME**

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

#### LEVELS OF RESPONSE QUESTIONS:

The indicative content indicates the expected parameters for candidates' answers, but be prepared to recognise and credit unexpected approaches where they show relevance.

Using 'best-fit', decide first which set of level descriptors best describes the overall quality of the answer. Once the level is located, adjust the mark concentrating on features of the answer which make it stronger or weaker following the guidelines for refinement.

Highest mark: If clear evidence of all the qualities in the level descriptors is shown, the HIGHEST Mark should be awarded.

Lowest mark: If the answer shows the candidate to be borderline (i.e. they have achieved all the qualities of the levels below and show limited evidence of meeting the criteria of the level in question) the LOWEST mark should be awarded.

Middle mark: This mark should be used for candidates who are secure in the level. They are not 'borderline' but they have only achieved some of the qualities in the level descriptors.

Be prepared to use the full range of marks. Do not reserve (e.g.) highest level marks 'in case' something turns up of a quality you have not yet seen. If an answer gives clear evidence of the qualities described in the level descriptors, reward appropriately.

Quality of extended response will be assessed in questions marked with an (\*). Quality of extended response is not attributed to any single assessment objective but instead is assessed against the entire response for the question.

	AO1	AO2	AO3	Quality of extended response
Comprehensive	A wide range of detailed and accurate knowledge that demonstrates fully developed understanding that shows full relevance to the demands of the question.  Precision in the use of question terminology.	Knowledge and understanding shown is consistently applied to the context of the question, in order to form a:  Clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate.  Clear, developed and convincing interpretation that is fully accurate.  Detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based.	Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used in a consistently appropriate and effective way and with a high degree of competence and precision.	There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.
Thorough	A range of detailed and accurate knowledge that demonstrates well developed understanding that is relevant to the demands of the question. Generally precise in the use of question terminology.	Knowledge and understanding shown is mainly applied to the context of the question, in order to form a:  Clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy.  Clear and developed interpretation that shows accuracy.  Detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions and evidence.	Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used in a suitable way and with a good level of competence and precision.	There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.

	AO1	AO2	AO3	Quality of extended response
Reasonable	Some sound knowledge that demonstrates partially developed understanding that is relevant to the demands to the question. Awareness of the meaning of the terms in the question.	Knowledge and understanding shown is partially applied to the context of the question, in order to form a:  Sound analysis that shows some accuracy.  Sound interpretation that shows some accuracy.  Sound evaluation that offers generalised judgments and conclusions, with limited use of evidence.	Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used in a mostly suitable way with a sound level of competence but may lack precision.	There information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.
Basic	Limited knowledge that is relevant to the topic or question with little or no development. Confusion and inability to deconstruct terminology as used in the question.	Knowledge and understanding shows limited application to the context of the question in order to form a:  Simple analysis that shows limited accuracy.  Simple interpretation that shows limited accuracy.  Un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions.	Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used inappropriately with limited competence and precision.	The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
1 (a)	Identify three limitations of Fig. 1 as a source of information about the greenhouse effect.  Possible limitations include:  GHGs present throughout the atmosphere not just in an upper level layer  No indication of different types and efficacy of GFGs  Nothing to indicate where the GHGs originate from - natural or anthropogenic  No scale to show the relevance of the width of arrows – i.e. absence of quantification  Lack of information about the source e.g. date  No labelling of incoming radiation flows as short-wave	3 AO3 x3	AO3 – 3 marks  3x1 (✓) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource.
1 (b)	Explain how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases.  Level 3 (5-6 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases (AO1).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases.  Level 2 (3-4 marks)  Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases (AO1).  This will be shown by including developed ideas about how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases.	6 AO1 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks  Knowledge and understanding of how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases could potentially include:  • geoengineering = use of technology to modify environment on a large-scale  • two types of strategy - ↓ amount of insolation entering atmosphere; ↓ level of CO₂ in atmosphere – NB it is only geoengineering that reduces emissions of GHGs that is relevant  • carbon removal: fertilising oceans with iron to absorb carbon dioxide through increased phytoplankton growth  • carbon capture: developing plastic trees which absorb and store carbon dioxide  • enhanced weathering – crushing huge quantities of some types of rock to ↑ surface

Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases (AO1).	area → accelerated weathering absorbing CO₂ to form carbonates - sequestration
There may be <b>simple</b> ideas about how geoengineering can cut global emissions of greenhouse gases.	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of	

	Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
2	Question (a)	Identify three limitations of Fig. 2 as a source of information about the global distribution of people living with dementia in 2015.  Possible limitations include:  Is there a standard definition of 'dementia' used across the world? Different locations may have different definitions.  Unequal access to health care so diagnosis rates likely to vary. Possible bias – lack of information about purpose and author  Regions large and not comparable in geographical size - regions have different sized populations so number per 1000 people would be more helpful Intra-continental differences masked Map projection distorts in favour of	Mark 3 AO3 x3	AO3 – 3 marks  3x1 (✓) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource.  Do not accept a limitation that the resource is out of date.
2	(b)	northern hemisphere  Unclear if Asia includes Australia, NZ and Pacific nations  Explain the environmental causes of one communicable disease.  Level 3 (5-6 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the environmental causes of one communicable disease (AO1).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas	6 AO1 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks  Knowledge and understanding of the environmental causes of one communicable disease could potentially include:  • environmental factors, can include; climate rainfall, temperatures, relief, natural hazards • communicable disease = transmitted from one
		about the environmental causes of one communicable disease.  Level 2 (3-4 marks)  Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and		person to another directly or indirectly or by means of vectors  malaria – warm humid conditions required as wel as stagnant surface water which is required for

understanding of the environmental causes of one communicable disease (AO1).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about the environmental causes of one communicable disease.

## Level 1 (1-2 marks)

Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the environmental causes of one communicable disease (AO1).

There may be **simple** ideas about the environmental causes of one communicable disease.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

breeding mosquitoes.

- diarrhoea/cholera/typhoid/ guinea worm water contaminated with bacteria / water fleas. often occurring after or during flood events
- candidates could refer to the role of an appropriate natural hazard and link to a communicable disease e.g. flooding, drought or monsoon rains

Candidates should not be penalized for discussing more than one communicable disease

C	Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
3	(a)	Identify three limitations of Fig. 3 as a source of information about the pattern of circulation in the North Atlantic.  Possible limitations include:  Scale not added Diagram doesn't show if currents are shallow or deep Diagram doesn't show if currents are warm or cold No key provided for width of current or meaning of a dashed current. Speed of flow not shown No inflow to the Atlantic from the Mediterranean shown	3 AO3 x3	AO3 – 3 marks  3x1 (✓) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource.
3	(b)	Level 3 (5-6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the distinctive features of guyots (AO1).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the distinctive features of guyots.  Level 2 (3-4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the distinctive features of guyots (AO1).  This will be shown by including developed ideas about the distinctive features of guyots.  Level 1 (1-2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of	6 AO1 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks  Knowledge and understanding of the distinctive features of guyots could potentially include:  Once rose above the surface of the ocean Erosion reduced height to below sea level Due to erosion, peak has a flat top Over time the weight of the guyots on the oceanic crust may cause it to subside into the upper mantle Accumulation of sediment can bury these peaks over time e.g. within the Atlantic ocean Many are of volcanic origin, often part of midocean ridge → igneous geology

the distinctive features of guyots (AO1).	
There may be <b>simple</b> ideas about the distinctive features of guyots.	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

C	Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
4	(a)	Identify three limitations of Fig. 4 as a source of information about the global distribution of organic agriculture in 2015.  Possible limitations include:  • original size of each country/continent is distorted so very difficult to accurately appreciate significance of organic area  • no scale  • no indication if organic agriculture produced is subsistence farming or bound for the domestic or international market.  • difficulty in obtaining accurate and reliable data in some areas of the world  • no historical data available for comparison of change over time	3 AO3 x3	AO3 – 3 marks  3x1 (✓) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource.  Do not accept resource is out of date as a limitation.
4	(b)	Explain how food production methods can vary within a country or region.  Level 3 (5-6 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of how food production methods can vary within a country or region (AO1).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about how food production methods can vary within a country or region.  Level 2 (3-4 marks)  Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of how food production methods can vary within a country or region (AO1).  This will be shown by including developed ideas about	6 AO1 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks  Knowledge and understanding of how food production methods can vary within a country or region could potentially include:  • Wide range of farming methods within just one country  • Any one farming system can include several different features e.g. market gardening in the Vale of Evesham  • Arable and pastoral systems work alongside each other at all scales  • Subsistence and commercial systems can exist within a local distance e.g. wet-rice farming in India close to commercial rice farming, or subsistence crofting farming in Scotland close to

how food production methods can vary within a country or region.  Level 1 (1–2 marks)  Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of how food production methods can vary within a country or region (AO1).  There may be simple ideas about how food production methods can vary within a country or region.	<ul> <li>commercial dairy farming</li> <li>Shifting cultivation in the Brazilian rainforest, close to sedentary cattle ranching</li> <li>Extensive and intensive farming systems within close distance to each other e.g. large scale commercial (Fens, Prairies) and market gardening nearer cities (Cambridge, Winnipeg)</li> <li>Credit should be given for any relevant answers.</li> </ul>
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

	Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
5	(a)	Identify three limitations of Fig. 5 as a source of information about rift valleys.  Possible limitations include:  Iack of information about the source leading to potential bias based on who took the photo and for what purpose  Iack of information about geology  no scale e.g. height of rock faces, width of flat floor (ranging pole / person)  only a very short section visible – unclear as to the overall scale / impact of the landscape  how representative is this example	3 AO3 x3	AO3 – 3 marks  3x1 (✓) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource.
5	(b)	Explain the role of convection currents in the asthenosphere.  Level 3 (5-6 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the role of convection currents in the asthenosphere (AO1).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the role of convection currents in the asthenosphere.  Level 2 (3-4 marks)  Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the formation and role of convection	6 AO1 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks  Knowledge and understanding of the role of convection currents in the asthenosphere could potentially include:  • asthenosphere = layer in upper mantle c. 100 down to c. 300km. Solid but flows under pressure thus behaving like a very viscous material over very long time scales  • Shallow and deep convection currents in the asthenosphere caused by heat generated in the Earth's interior – left over from Earth's formation + unstable radioactive isotopes  • Viscous asthenosphere moves carrying the

currents in the asthenosphere (AO1).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about the role of convection currents in the asthenosphere.

### Level 1 (1-2 marks)

Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the role of convection currents in the asthenosphere (AO1).

There may be **simple** ideas about the formation and role of convection currents in the asthenosphere

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

- overlying solid lithosphere and crust with it
- Upwelling plumes of hot material at mid-oceanic ridges are warm → higher elevation than colder more dense plate material further away → gravity causes higher ridge to 'push' away the lithosphere lying further away from the ridge. Diverging convection currents may operate in these locations
- Older colder plates sink at subduction zones because as they cool → become denser than underlying asthenosphere → 'pull' the rest of the warmer plate along behind it. Converging currents may operate in these locations.
- Credit point that research into how plates move ongoing and questions over exactly how convection operates remain

# SECTION B - SYNOPTIC QUESTIONS

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
6	Examine how climate change may be	12	Indicative content
	impacting the water cycle in tropical	AO1 x6	AO1 – 6 marks
	rainforests.	AO2 x6	Knowledge and understanding of climate change
			and the water cycle in tropical rainforests could
	Level 4 (10-12 marks)		potentially include:
	Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and		Climate change
	understanding of climate change and the water		o increase in surface, atmospheric and
	cycle in tropical rainforests (AO1).		oceanic temperatures
			o rising sea level
	Demonstrates comprehensive application of		o increasing atmospheric water vapour
	knowledge and understanding to provide clear,		o climate modelling to show influence of
	developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate		positive and negative feedback
	of how climate change may be impacting the water		Water cycle in the tropical rainforest      Water cycles appaifing to tropical
	cycle in tropical rainforests (AO2).		<ul> <li>water cycles specific to tropical rainforests</li> </ul>
	This will be shown by including well developed ideas		<ul> <li>physical factors affecting the flows and</li> </ul>
	This will be shown by including <b>well-developed</b> ideas about how climate change may be impacting the		stores in the water cycle, including
	water cycle in tropical rainforests.		temperature
	water cycle in tropical fairnorests.		explore changes to the flows and
	There are clear and explicit attempts to make		stores within the water cycle caused by
	appropriate synoptic links between content from		natural factors
	different parts of the course of study.		
	,		AO2 – 6 marks
	Level 3 (7-9 marks)		Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> knowledge and understanding		how climate change may be impacting the water cycle in
	of climate change and the water cycle in tropical		tropical rainforests could potentially include:
	rainforests (AO1).		tropical rainforests have high average annual
			temperatures and high average annual rainfall,
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> application of knowledge and		with little or no 'dry' season.
	understanding to provide clear and developed analysis		Some areas are predicted to be much drier as
	that shows accuracy of how climate change may be		a result of climate change with a pronounced
	impacting the water cycle in tropical rainforests (AO2).		dry season.
	This will be about by individual well developed ideas		Precipitation may be less frequent and more     interest leading to increased run off and
	This will be shown by including <b>well-developed</b> ideas		intense leading to increased run-off and reduced stores of water. This could cause
	about <b>either</b> climate change <b>or</b> the water cycle in tropical rainforests and <b>developed</b> ideas for the other		increased stress on plants and reduced ability
	focus.		to grow as water supplies limited
	locus.		<ul> <li>precipitation feedback loops likely to change as</li> </ul>
	There are clear attempts to make synoptic links		precipitation events are less frequent, but high
	There are clear attempts to make symphic links		precipitation events are less frequent, but high

between the content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate.

# Level 2 (4-6 marks)

Demonstrates **reasonable** knowledge and understanding of climate change and the water cycle in tropical rainforests (AO1).

Demonstrates **reasonable** application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how climate change may be impacting the water cycle in tropical rainforests (AO2).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about **either** climate change **or** the water cycle in tropical rainforests and **simple** ideas for the other focus.

There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant.

# Level 1 (1-3 marks)

Demonstrates **basic** knowledge and understanding of climate change and the water cycle in tropical rainforests (AO1).

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how climate change may be impacting the water cycle in tropical rainforests (AO2).

This will be shown by including **simple** ideas about climate change and the water cycle in tropical rainforests.

There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

- temperatures cause continued high rates of evaporation from interception storage on leaf surfaces and transpiration from the soil
- continued high temperatures will continue to allow high capacity for water storage in the atmosphere, however vegetation storage likely to decrease

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
7	Examine how the prevalence of noncommunicable disease is influenced by issues of EITHER human rights OR territorial integrity.  Level 4 (10-12 marks)  Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of prevalence of non-communicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1).  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how the prevalence of non-communicable disease is influenced by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the relationship between prevalence of noncommunicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity.  There are clear and explicit attempts to make appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.  Level 3 (7-9 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of prevalence of non-communicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1).  Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how the prevalence of noncommunicable disease is influenced by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about either prevalence of non-communicable disease	12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of prevalence of noncommunicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity could potentially include:  Non-communicable disease – a disease that cannot be spread between people  Prevalence of non-communicable disease such as diabetes, CVD, types of cancer. As countries develop economically the frequency of communicable diseases decreases, while the prevalence of non-communicable diseases rises  Issues of human rights:  Basic rights and freedoms to which all people are entitled including access to medical care, food, education, shelter, gender equality  Current spatial patterns of human rights issues can influence prevalence of non-communicable disease  Global governance of human rights has consequences for citizens and places  Issues of territorial integrity:  The concept of territorial integrity is that the defined territory of a state, over which it has exclusive and legitimate control, is inviolable  Challenges to territorial integrity include contested territory, claims for separatism, ethnic partitioning, ethnic conflict, economic power of TNCs

or either human rights or territorial integrity and developed ideas for the other focus.

There are clear attempts to make synoptic links between the content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate.

## Level 2 (4-6 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of prevalence of non-communicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1).

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how the prevalence of noncommunicable disease is influenced by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about either prevalence of non-communicable disease or either human rights or territorial integrity and simple ideas for the other focus

There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant.

# Level 1 (1-3 marks)

Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of prevalence of non-communicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1).

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how the prevalence of noncommunicable disease is influenced by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2).

This will be shown by including simple ideas about the prevalence of non-communicable disease and issues of either human rights or territorial integrity.

Global governance of sovereignty and territorial integrity has consequences for citizens and people

#### $\Delta\Omega$ 2 – 6 marks

Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how the prevalence of non-communicable disease is influenced by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity could potentially include:

#### Human rights:

- Prevalence of non-communicable disease can be reduced or increased by human rights issues
- Where human rights are upheld such as in many parts of ACs and EDCs, reduction in the prevalence of non-communicable diseases may be influenced by:
  - access to education / awareness of potential medical conditions
  - access to medical / health care
  - food security and nutritional advice
  - o gender equality in access to education and health care
  - lower levels of poverty
  - better access to effects of government strategies
- Even in countries where human rights are upheld there may be spatial and temporal variations in prevalence of non-communicable disease related to:

There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of studv.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

- Distribution of elderly populations
- Poor diet / overnutrition
- Level of alcohol / tobacco consumption
- Air pollution
- In the developing world in some LIDCs and EDCs where human rights are not upheld, overnutrition is a significant health problem and there is increasing prevalence of non-communicable diseases such as CVD, type-2 diabetes, cancer

#### Territorial integrity:

- Prevalence of non-communicable diseases can be reduced or enhanced by territorial integrity issues
- Where territorial integrity is secure and there is political stability, prevalence of noncommunicable diseases may be affected by the effectiveness of state apparatus such as the health care system, education provision, gender equality and wealth / ability of government to put in place effective strategies
- Where territorial integrity is insecure, especially in the conflict zones, prevalence of noncommunicable diseases may be affected by military action restricting access to national government and international organisations that might otherwise mitigate against noncommunicable diseases
- In conflict zones prevalence may be increased or decreased depending on access to food, clean

	water, living conditions, threats to food security and medical facilities  • NGOs and other organisations, WHO / UNICEF, involved in intervention may help to reduce prevalence of non-communicable disease by supporting populations, e.g. IDPs, especially if threat to territorial integrity is long-term.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
8	Examine how oceans influence patterns of	12	AO1 – 6 marks
	EITHER global trade OR global migration.	AO1 x6	Knowledge and understanding of oceans and patterns
		AO2 x6	of either global trade or global migration could
	Level 4 (10-12 marks)		potentially include:
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> knowledge and		• oceans;
	understanding of oceans and patterns of either global trade or global migration. (AO1).		<ul> <li>the global distribution of the world's oceans, their areas and volumes</li> <li>the global distribution of warm and cold surface currents</li> </ul>
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of		cold surface currents
	knowledge and understanding to provide clear,		patterns of global trade
	developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how oceans influence patterns of either global trade		Current spatial patterns in the
	or global migration (AO2).		direction and components of international trade, including
	This will be shown by including <b>well-developed</b> ideas about the relationship between oceans and patterns		examples of intra-regional – must be linked to oceans as question specifies
	of either global trade or global migration.		opeses
	There are clear and explicit attempts to make		patterns of global migration
	appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.		<ul> <li>Current spatial patterns in the numbers, composition and direction of international migration flows,</li> </ul>
	Level 3 (7-9 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding		including examples of intra-regional  – must be linked to oceans as question specifies
	of oceans and patterns of either global trade or global		·
	migration (AO1).		AO2 – 6 marks
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> application of knowledge and		Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse
	understanding to provide clear and developed analysis		how oceans influence patterns of either global trade or
	that shows accuracy of how oceans influence patterns of		global migration could potentially include:
	either global trade or global migration (AO2).		<ul> <li>Oceans provide opportunities for flows of trade and migration directly for countries with</li> </ul>
	This will be shown by including <b>well-developed</b> ideas		a coastline and indirectly for land-locked
	about <b>either</b> oceans <b>or</b> patterns of either global trade		countries
	or global migration and <b>developed</b> ideas for the other		<ul> <li>the size of the ocean can influence patterns</li> <li>e.g. shorter distances are more manageable</li> </ul>
	focus.		and cheaper so likely to have increased
			traffic e.g. migration flows from South-East
	There are clear attempts to make synoptic links		Asia to Australia
	between the content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate.		The distribution of currents can influence routes making them more treacherous or faster and chapper depending on the
			faster and cheaper depending on the

### Level 2 (4-6 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of oceans and patterns of either global trade or global migration (AO1).

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how oceans influence patterns of either global trade or global migration (AO2).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about either oceans or patterns of either global trade or global migration and **simple** ideas for the other focus.

There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant.

### Level 1 (1-3 marks)

Demonstrates **basic** knowledge and understanding of oceans and patterns of either global trade or global migration (AO1).

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how oceans influence patterns of either global trade or global migration (AO2).

This will be shown by including **simple** ideas about the relationship between oceans and patterns of either global trade or global migration.

There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

direction of travel

- These patterns are affected by many other factors which may have a greater influence e.g. political or socio-economic factors, the distribution of 21st century piracy and its management
- Globalisation resulting in time space compression as technological developments have reduced time taken for ships to cross.
- New routes developing such as the use of ice breakers in the Arctic
- New ocean routes for migrant crossings

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
9	Examine how physical factors affect food security in any ONE landscape system you have studied.  Level 4 (10-12 marks)  Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that affect food security in one landscape system. (AO1).  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how physical factors affect food security in one landscape system (AO2).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the physical factors that affect food security and one landscape system.  There are clear and explicit attempts to make	12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6	Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that affect food security in any one landscape system could potentially include:  • Producing sufficient food is heavily influenced by the physical environment  • Physical factors that affect food security include geology, soil, length of growing season, temperature, precipitation, water supply, altitude, aspect, slope angle  • The physical factors vary spatially within coastal, glaciated and dryland landscape systems  • Physical factors can also change over time in both the short- and long-term; they may be
	appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.  Level 3 (7-9 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that affect food security in one landscape system (AO1).  Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how physical factors affect food security in one landscape system (AO2).  This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about either the physical factors that affect food security or one landscape system and developed ideas for the other focus.  There are clear attempts to make synoptic links between the content from different parts of the course		affected by natural hazards, climate change and the impact of human activity  • 'Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active an healthy life' (UN FAO definition)  • Whilst one particular physical factor can influence food security it is often a range of physical factors in combination - also with human factors - that affect food security  • Physical factors may have a positive or negative effect on food security

of study but these are not always appropriate.

#### Level 2 (4-6 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that affect food security in one landscape system (AO1).

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how the physical factors that affect food security in one landscape system (AO2).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about either the physical factors that affect food security or one landscape system and **simple** ideas for the other focus

There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant.

# Level 1 (1-3 marks)

Demonstrates **basic** knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that affect food security in one landscape system (AO1).

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how the physical factors affect food security in one landscape system (AO2).

This will be shown by including **simple** ideas about the physical factors that affect food security in one landscape system.

There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

Application of knowledge and understanding to examine how physical factors affect food security in any **one** landscape system could potentially include:

- \* Factors that could be applied to each specific landscape system depending on the particular examples cited:
  - Soil characteristics: texture, structure, mineral content
  - Temperature, minimum threshold for crop growth and length of growing season
  - Precipitation and water supply: amount, effectiveness, seasonal distribution
  - Altitude: affects relationship between climate. soil and growing season
  - Aspect and slope angle: affect microclimate and soil characteristics such as depth and drainage
- Additional factors specific to each landscape system, depending on examples cited:
  - Coastal landscapes
    - o Change, gain or loss in area / quality of land available for farming may be affected by:
      - Submergence e.g. loss of lower valley deeper more fertile soils in ria formation
      - Emergence e.g. raised beaches
      - Marine / subaerial erosion e.g. coasts of weaker rocks such as East Anglia

- Deposition e.g. Dungeness, Orford I	Noce
- Deposition e.g. Dungeness, Orlord i	NGSS
o Proximity to the sea / warm ocean curre where temperatures milder in winter in relatitudes is of benefit to crop / livestock production e.g. British west coasts	
<ul> <li>Climate change; warming may lead to increased incidence / energy of storms, coastal flooding affecting food productive.g. small island communities</li> </ul>	
o Relief; low lying coasts, e.g. deltas, may be affected by salt water incursion as so level rises	
Glaciated landscapes	
o Glacial erosion in upland areas has removed soil, leaving barren areas of lo agricultural productivity / extensive livestock rearing e.g. Snowdonia	·W
Deposition by ice has created relatively fertile deep soils in till plains which are highly productive e.g. East Anglia	
Deposition by glacio-fluvial processes h     produced extensive outwash plains of o     poor to moderate soil fertility	
The combination of factors such as high altitude, low temperatures, high precipitation, steep slopes in uplands had led to low productivity affecting food security, whereas the converse may be true of lowland glaciated landscapes	as

<ul> <li>Fragility of dryland environments; thre food security may be caused by case effects of change in a single factor su precipitation</li> <li>Drought where populations depend of farming can initiate, intensify or contribute.</li> <li>Desertification</li> <li>Soil erosion</li> <li>Effects of blown sand on crops / of encroachment in oases</li> <li>especially in semi-arid drylands</li> <li>The combination of low mean annual and very high summer day time temperatures affect farming type and productivity / food security</li> </ul>	ading ch as n bute to
Water supply – patterns of rainfall values spatially and may change over time affecting crop yields positively or neg      Food security is vulnerable in polar drylands; 'hunting and gathering' by indigenous people is a product of low	atively

		temperatures, permafrost, lack of moisture, low precipitation, and low biodiversity.
		,

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
10	Examine how strategies to manage tectonic	12	Indicative content
	hazards shape place identity.	AO1 x6	AO1 – 6 marks
		AO2 x6	Knowledge and understanding of strategies to
	Level 4 (10-12 marks)		manage tectonic hazards and place identity could
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> knowledge and		potentially include:
	understanding of strategies to manage tectonic		strategies to manage tectonic hazards –
	hazards and place identity (AO1).		mitigation against the event, vulnerability or
	·····		losses
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of		place identity – demographic, socio-economic,
	knowledge and understanding to provide clear,		cultural, political, built and physical
	developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate		characteristics
	of how strategies to manage tectonic hazards shape		Characteristics
	place identity (AO2).		AO2 – 6 marks
	piaco idonaty (102).		
	This will be shown by including well-developed ideas		Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how strategies to manage tectonic hazards shape place
	about strategies to manage tectonic hazards and		
	place identity.		identity could potentially include:
	place identity.		mitigating against lava flows e.g. building lava diversion shappels in Italy shappe the built
	There are clear and explicit attempts to make		diversion channels in Italy change the built
	appropriate synoptic links between content from		environment potentially balancing the
	different parts of the course of study.		demographics of the population as emigration
	unierent parts of the course of study.		slows because confidence grows (so all age
	Level 3 (7-9 marks)		groups stay rather than just the elderly who
	· ·		cannot move)
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> knowledge and understanding		mitigating against vulnerability improving
	of strategies to manage tectonic hazards and place		community preparedness e.g. locally run radio
	identity (AO1).		warning system near Mount Merapi, Indonesia
	Demonstrates the average application of leasted due and		changes culture as the community understands
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> application of knowledge and		the signs that can indicate a potential eruption
	understanding to provide clear and developed analysis		rather than relying on local folklore about the
	that shows accuracy of how strategies to manage		movement of woodworms
	tectonic hazards shape place identity (AO2).		mitigation against vulnerability changing building     design a g in Keba, length the railway station is
			design e.g. in Kobe, Japan the railway station is
	This will be shown by including <b>well-developed</b> ideas		covered in cross bracing which changes the built
	about either strategies to manage tectonic hazards		nature of Kobe's place identity
	or place identity and developed ideas for the other		mitigation against the event e.g. land-use zoning  may about a both the built and natural.
	focus.		may change both the built and natural
			environment of the place identity as land-use
	There are clear attempts to make synoptic links		zones are changed by decision makers
	between the content from different parts of the course		distinction between long and short term
	of study but these are not always appropriate.		mitigation.
			Impact of aid agencies

### Level 2 (4-6 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of strategies to manage tectonic hazards and place identity (AO1).

Demonstrates **reasonable** application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how strategies to manage tectonic hazards shape place identity (AO2).

This will be shown by including **developed** ideas about either strategies to manage tectonic hazards or place identity and simple ideas for the other focus.

There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant.

## Level 1 (1-3 marks)

Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of strategies to manage tectonic hazards and place identity (AO1).

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how strategies to manage tectonic hazards shape place identity (AO2).

This will be shown by including simple ideas about strategies to manage tectonic hazards and place identity.

There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit

All mitigation creates a perception of safety to both the residents of an area as well as those looking in e.g. tourists

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
11*	'Vulnerability to climate change depends on location rather than the level of economic development.' Discuss.  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of vulnerability to climate change and locational (spatial) factors and level of economic development.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of vulnerability to climate change and locational factors and level of economic development.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of vulnerability to climate change and locational factors and level of economic development.  Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of vulnerability to climate change and locational factors and level of economic development.	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of vulnerability to climate change and locational factors and level of economic development could potentially include:  • What is climate change, including discussion of rates  • Implications of climate change for people and the environment, such as changes to ecosystems, economies, health and extreme weather in different locations, and how these are projected to change in the future  • The vulnerability of people and the environment to the impacts of climate change  • Case studies of contrasting countries at different stages of economic development including current socio-economic and environmental impacts and the opportunities and threats they present, technological socio-economic and political challenges associated with effective mitigation and adaptation
	No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how the vulnerability to climate change depends on location and level of economic development.  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which the vulnerability to climate change depends on location rather than the level of economic development.		AO2 – 24 marks  Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which vulnerability to climate change depends on location rather than the level of economic development, could potentially include:  • Level of economic development can determine mitigation and level of adaptation and therefore affect vulnerability for both people and environment  • Vulnerability determined by effects, some of which are localised geographically e.g. only specific latitudes affected by vulnerability to tropical storms, or coastal flooding with rising sea level affects coastal zones  • It could be argued that level of economic development is critical factor e.g. Southern

Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.

# Level 3 (13-18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how the vulnerability to climate change depends on location and level of economic development.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which the vulnerability to climate change depends on location rather than the level of economic development.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

## Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how the vulnerability to climate change depends on location and level of economic development.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which the vulnerability to climate change depends on location rather than the level of economic development.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1-6 marks)

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how the vulnerability to climate change depends on location and level of economic development.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and

Bangladesh and South East USA are both affected by tropical storms, but Bangladesh is often more adversely affected e.g. in 1991 138,000 killed compared with 2005 in USA where 1400 died

- However, vulnerability for some people is greater e.g. farmers in marginal environments – combination of geographical vulnerability and employment that is dependent on the changing environment
- Population density could be argued to affect vulnerability as in higher density areas. greater proportions of the population is at risk increasing vulnerability
- Global warming is most rapid at the poles. increasing vulnerability to polar environments and population e.g. Inuits in the Arctic
- Impact of heat waves on humans
- Low lying coastal areas and the impact of powerful storms
- Impact to the global distribution of ecosystems
- Coastal flooding

understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which the vulnerability to climate change depends on location rather than the level of economic development.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

# Quality of extended response

#### Level 4

There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated

#### Level 3

There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.

## Level 2

The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence

#### Level 1

The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
12*	'Evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.' Discuss.  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of past evidence and predictions of future climate change.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of past evidence and predictions of future climate change.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of past evidence and predictions of future climate change.  Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the past evidence and predictions of future climate change.	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content  AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of past evidence and predictions of future climate change could potentially include:  • Past  • Methods used to reconstruct past climate  • Past climate to reveal periods of greenhouse and icehouse Earth  • How natural forcing has driven climate change in the geological past  • Humans have influenced the climate system, leading to a new epoch, the Anthropocene  • Future  • An effective human response relies on knowing what the future will hold e.g. importance of the carbon cycle, influence of positive and negative
	marks     No response or no response worthy of credit.		feedback, future emission scenarios  The global cooperation of nations is also vital to managing climate change
	AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.		AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate whether evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change could potentially include:  • Without understanding the past we cannot understand the present or begin to adjust the
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to whether evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.  Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.		<ul> <li>future.</li> <li>Using a variety of methods helpful for ensuring a realistic picture e.g. sea-floor sediments, ice cores, lake sediments, tree rings and fossils</li> <li>We need to understand natural forcing in order to interpret results appropriately e.g.</li> </ul>
	Level 3 (13–18 marks)		external and internal forcing mechanisms  Using past data we need to use evidence of

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to whether evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

## Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to whether evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1-6 marks)

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to whether evidence from the past contributes to accurate predictions of future climate change.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

0 marks

human influences especially since the industrial revolution to appreciate the speed of change and it's relative significance

- Consideration of the reliability of past data
- Using a range of methods enables a more accurate reading e.g. glacial retreat, sea level rise, global temperatures as well as atmospheric water vapour and anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions
- The rate of change globally is different now as:
  - o technologies develop faster,
  - o increased globalisation leading to quicker communications
  - o much increased population growth

This limits the effectiveness of past climate change data for predicting the future.

- Society is seeing evidence of significant climate change in the recent past in contrast to evidence of slower changes over previous centuries/millennia.
- It should be noted that atmospheric fluctuations are a natural phenomena.

No response or no response worthy of credit. **Quality of extended response** Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
13*	To what extent can one NGO effectively mitigate against disease at a national scale?  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of a NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of a NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.  Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of a NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.  0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which one NGO can effectively mitigate against disease at a national scale.  Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.	33 A01 x9 A02 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale could potentially include:  • Case study of the role that one NGO has played in dealing with a disease outbreak within one country at national level • E.g. British Red Cross mitigating cholera in Haiti or Cancer UK improving prevention, diagnosis and treatment across UK (other relevant examples are creditable)  AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which one NGO can effectively mitigate against disease at a national scale, could potentially include: • The effectiveness of the NGO in the case study studied – measured by ability to mitigate disease e.g. BRC in Haiti was ineffective in managing the scale of outbreak of cholera in Haiti. • Importance of co-operation of the NGO with various other bodies – without this, effect of NGO severely limited • Impact of grass roots strategies as more effective use of resources • Perhaps more effective at dealing with infectious diseases rather than noncommunicable e.g. Cancer UK is having an impact, but role of education in prevention of cancer is changing culture, society and habits which is much more difficult to measure and achieve compared with supplying clean drinking water to an area to mitigate cholera • Effectiveness of alternative national strategies in comparison e.g.

# Level 3 (13-18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

## Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1–6 marks)

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the effectiveness of one NGO mitigating against disease at a national scale.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

- Education e.g. UK government running campaigns and adverts to improve awareness of causes of obesity
- o Government action e.g. Indian government decreasing subsidies on petrol to increase cost of fuel, to reduce use of cars and decrease incidence of lung cancer
- Multi agency strategies e.g. Ethiopian government working with UNICEF. World Bank, WHO to reduce incidence of malaria through spraying, destruction of breeding sites as well as mass publicity campaigns, early diagnosis and treatment and provision of treated bed nets
- Role of pharmaceutical transnationals
- Discussion of a range of NGOs working in an area/with a particular disease.
- Consideration of the fact that any NGO will work with the government of an area, thus meaning they cannot solve a situation alone
- Various agencies work at different scales. WHO has a very different role to say UNICEF or the red cross.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

# **Quality of extended response**

#### Level 4

There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated

#### Level 3

There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.

#### Level 2

The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.

# Level 1

The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
14*	'Increasing mobility is the main influence on diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.' To what extent do you agree?  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks)  Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of mobility and diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.  Level 3 (5–6 marks)  Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of mobility and diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.  Level 2 (3–4 marks)  Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of mobility and diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content  AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of mobility and diffusion of disease at a variety of scales could potentially include:  • mobility – movement of people within and between countries  • Disease diffusion – spread of disease outwards from its origin to new areas  • Hagerstrand model  • Types of diffusion – expansion, relocation, contagious and hierarchical  • Consideration of scale – local /national /international  • Other factors impacting diffusion such as;  o International organisations e.g. WHO
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of mobility and diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.		<ul> <li>Physical conditions and barriers</li> <li>Level of development, socio-economic barriers</li> </ul>
	marks     No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2		AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the influence of increasing mobility, and other different factors, on diffusion of disease, could
	Level 4 (19–24 marks)  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of mobility and other different factors that influence the diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to whether increasing mobility is the main influence on diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.  Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.		<ul> <li>A range of scales should be discussed from local, national to international</li> <li>Physical barriers reduce the spread of disease and remote locations are becoming less isolar with increasing globalisation</li> <li>Examples of the impact of increasing mobility include:         <ul> <li>Ebola outbreak in West Africa 2014 spreat locally between villages and regionally with and between nations</li> <li>Recent influenza and other viruses, outbreaks spreading rapidly across continents following trade or migration</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Level 3 (13–18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and lunderstanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of mobility and other different factors that influence the diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to whether increasing mobility is the main influence on diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

# Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of mobility and other different factors that influence the diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to whether increasing mobility is the main influence on diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1–6 marks)

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of mobility and other different factors that influence the diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to whether increasing mobility is the main influence on diffusion of disease at a variety of scales.

- patterns as well as international and national sporting or business events
- The outbreak of Cholera in Haiti following the 2010 earthquake was brought in via global mobility [with UN worker from Nepal] Poor local living conditions caused rapid national spread of disease. South - lower mortality rates e.g. Sud, Sud-Est and Nippes, with greater access to safe drinking water and sanitation in IDP camps: higher mortality remote areas where access to healthcare was limited
- Other factors that impact diffusion of disease. evaluated against increasing mobility, may include
  - Significance of physical conditions where diseases thrive e.g. flu in low temperatures, dengue fever in high temperatures and humidity.
  - Effectiveness of work of the WHO in researching, predicting and controlling disease diffusion.
  - level of economic development e.g. effectiveness of local education and healthcare programmes.
  - Government barriers e.g. national lockdown or closing borders.
  - o Physical barriers
  - Mitigation already in place.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. Quality of extended response Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
Question 15*	Discuss the extent to which climate change has irreversibly damaged the oceans.  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of climate change and damage to the oceans.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of climate change and damage to the oceans.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of climate change and damage to the oceans.  Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of climate change and damage to the oceans.  O marks No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of climate change and damage to the oceans.  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of climate change and damage to the oceans could potentially include:  Impact of climate change Acidification of oceans Rising temperature and threat to coral ecosystems Sea level change Threats, impact and adaptations of island communities Impact on sea ice Impacts on Arctic region Trends or inclusion of data.  AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which climate change has irreversibly damaged the oceans, could potentially include:  Acidity has increased by 30% since the industrial revolution limiting the ability of coral reefs, molluscs to accumulate calcium carbonate  Mussels cannot cling to rocks as acidification increases, severely affecting the ecosystem Coral bleaching is prevalent across the tropics and has been increasing since 1980s. Events have grown in intensity, frequency and scale. Some of this coral is unable to recover, proving the ocean has passed the threshold for sensitive communities Arctic sea ice has decreased 2.9% since 1978,
	climate change has irreversibly damaged the oceans.  Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.  Level 3 (13–18 marks)		reducing from 15 million km2 to 4 million km2.  Depth of Arctic sea ice has also decreased from 4m to 1.25m on average.  Concept of threshold (critical tipping point in ocean causing massive and irreversible

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of climate change and damage to the oceans

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which climate change has irreversibly damaged the oceans.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

#### Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of climate change and damage to the oceans.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which climate change has irreversibly damaged the oceans.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1-6 marks)

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of climate change and damage to the oceans.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which climate change has irreversibly damaged the oceans.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

damage) may be used in evaluation

# **Quality of extended response** Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
16*	'Plastic is the most serious threat to marine ecosystems.' Discuss.	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks
	AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of threats to marine ecosystems.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of threats to marine ecosystems.		Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of threats to marine ecosystems could potentially include:  • Plastic  • Major sources of plastic pollutants  • How plastic can spread around the globe via oceanic circulation  • Causes of the accumulation of plastic in one
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of threats to marine ecosystems.  Level 1 (1–2 marks)		ocean gyre  Plastic is moved around the world from source via waves. This movement is controlled by winds and Coriolis effect, contributing to the creation of gyres e.g.
	Demonstrates <b>basic</b> knowledge and understanding of threats to marine ecosystems. <b>0 marks</b>		<ul> <li>Western and Eastern Pacific Garbage Patch</li> <li>Other threats</li> <li>Oils spills – impacts and management on the marine ecosystem</li> <li>Climate change – impact of acidification on</li> </ul>
	No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2		fish, rising temperatures on coral ecosystems  o Any other relevant sources of pollution
	Level 4 (19–24 marks)  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of the threats to marine ecosystems.		AO2 – 24 marks  Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which plastic is the most serious threat to marine ecosystems, could
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which plastic is the most serious threat to marine ecosystems.		<ul> <li>Plastic has been mass produced since 1907, it has risen in production exponentially since e.g. 1950 1.5 million tons of plastic produced, in 2016 more than 320 million tons. This is expected to double by 2034, littering the ocean further. Production is likely to continue to increase because plastic is light, durable and cheap to</li> </ul>
	Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.  Level 3 (13–18 marks)		<ul> <li>produce</li> <li>Plastic expected to outweigh fish by 2050. Much is micro-plastic which is impossible to remove</li> </ul>
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> application of knowledge and		<ul> <li>Plastic ends up in the ocean from rivers,</li> </ul>

understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of the threats to marine ecosystems.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which plastic is the most serious threat to marine ecosystems

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

# Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of the threats to marine ecosystems.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which plastic is the most serious threat to marine ecosystems.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1–6 marks)

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of the threats to marine ecosystems.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which plastic is the most serious threat to marine ecosystems.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

# Quality of extended response

- beaches, industrial shipping accidents
- It is estimated that every day 8 million pieces of plastic end up in the ocean, making up 80% of marine debris – waste policies in many countries are not effective enough to reduce plastic waste. Other issues taking precedence
- Variety of plastic waste increasing year by year. it's going to get worse and incidence of plastic increase
- Nurdles light, easily transported and small so easily spilled and dispersed around the ocean. Found in every ocean and along most coastlines globally affecting a much larger area than oil spills
- Entire marine ecosystem affected by Deepwater Horizon disaster in 2010. High mortality rates among birds, fish and turtles in numbers not reported on in relation to plastic
- Gulf of Mexico home to 5 endangered species of turtle all of which were affected. Due to the contained area of the oil spill and the importance of the Gulf of Mexico as a nursery ground, and the vulnerability of these species indicate that this is a greater threat than plastic
- Ocean acidification is affecting every trophic level in the marine ecosystem from sea butterflies to whales and mackerel making it a more serious threat as so many species are affected
- Coral bleaching caused by rising sea temperatures is very serious for coral reefs, but these only make up 25% of marine species. although arguably they have the highest biodiversity of all marine ecosystems, but they cover a very small portion of the ocean floor (less than 1%), making this a very localised problem and therefore a relatively small threat
- Loss of biodiversity globally
- Coastal flooding and the impact on Island communities and low lying areas such as the Maldives
- Economic issues arising from the impact of plastic such as on the fishing industry

# l evel 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated

#### Level 3

There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.

#### Level 2

The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence

#### Level 1

The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
17*	'Advanced Countries will always experience food security.' To what extent do you agree with this statement?  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of ACs and food security.  Level 3 (5–6 marks)	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of Advanced Countries and food security could potentially include:  Issues surrounding food security – availability, access, utilization, stability and security  Advanced countries – development
	Demonstrates <b>thorough</b> knowledge and understanding of ACs and food security.		characteristics e.g. well-developed financial markets, effective supply and demand of capital, goods, services and information, diversified economic structures enabling
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of ACs and food security.		economic resilience  AO2 – 24 marks
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of ACs and food security.		Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which Advanced Countries will always experience food security, coul potentially include:
	0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.		<ul> <li>ACs suffer from less than 5% of global prevalence of undernourishment, however this doesn't mean that food is secure</li> </ul>
understanding to provide a	Level 4 (19–24 marks)  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of Advanced Countries and		<ul> <li>Recent geographical pinch points were within ACs e.g. issues of distribution during the UK fuel crisis in 2012, or during the volcanic eruption in Iceland in 2010</li> <li>ACs with semiarid land can easily suffer from increased desertification e.g. USA, Spain or Australia</li> </ul>
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which Advanced Countries will always experience food security.		Climate change increasing extreme weather events e.g. heatwaves in Europe 2003 or flooding (58% of UK most productive farmland is within floodplains and increasingly at risk from increasingly unpredictable precipitation patterns)
	Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.		<ul> <li>Water scarcity in Australia caused by periodic drought linked to El Niño events</li> </ul>

# Level 3 (13-18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of Advanced Countries and food security.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which Advanced Countries will always experience food security.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

## Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of Advanced Countries and food security.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which Advanced Countries will always experience food security.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1–6 marks)

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of Advanced Countries and food security.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which Advanced Countries will always experience food security.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

#### 0 marks

- Tectonic hazards significantly affect agriculture in ACs e.g. Mount Etna 2002 eruption in Italy caused 50% of orange crop to be destroyed and 80% of vegetable crops lost
- Candidates may analyse and evaluate by comparing ACs to EDCs or LIDCs, this can be credited, but the focus must be on ability of the AC to consistently benefit from food security. An answer that is dependent upon the contrasting food insecurity of EDCs / LIDCs is unlikely to satisfy Level 4 AO2.

No response or no response worthy of credit. **Quality of extended response** Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
Question 18*	'Food production and food security issues have a greater impact on the physical environment than they do on people.' Discuss.  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of food production and security issues.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of food production and security issues.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of food production and security issues.  Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of food production and security issues.  O marks No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of the impact of food production	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content  AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of food production and food security issues could potentially include:  • Food production issues e.g. irrigation, deforestation, changing landscapes, use of agrochemicals  • Food security issues e.g. food shortages, food surpluses and poor diet  AO2 – 24 marks  Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which food production and security issues have a greater impact on the physical environment than they do on people, could potentially include:  • Analysis of issues of food production and security e.g. deforestation – an area equal to Costa Rica is deforested globally every year predominately for food production reducing biodiversity and carbon store  • Security issues are more influential because both issues of shortage and surplus can lead to death, an effect we cannot change  • Social issues associated with surplus causing globesity is an international phenomenon and likely to continue to
	Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing		<ul> <li>cannot change</li> <li>Social issues associated with surplus causing globesity is an international phenomenon and likely to continue to spread into more LIDCs as wealth of citizens increases. There are more</li> </ul>
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which food production and security issues have a greater impact on the physical environment than they do on people.		global initiatives to mitigate against issues associated with food shortages e.g. MDGs, but far fewer international efforts to control, stem the rise of globesity  Many of the environmental impacts are short term as effective management or

Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.

# Level 3 (13-18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of the impact of food production and security issues on the physical environment and on people.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which food production and security issues have a greater impact on the physical environment than they do on people.

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

## Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of the impact of food production and security issues on the physical environment and on people.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which food production and security issues have a greater impact on the physical environment than they do on people.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1-6 marks)

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of the impact of food production and security issues on the physical environment and on people.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers

- agricultural practice adaptations can lead to a relatively quick recovery of the soil, land or ecosystem e.g. managing herd sizes reduces compaction, weed infestations and salinisation
- Expect wide variety of case studies to evidence arguments

simple conclusions as to the extent to which food production and security issues have a greater impact on the physical environment than they do on people.

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

# Quality of extended response

#### Level 4

There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated

#### Level 3

There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.

## Level 2

The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.

#### Level 1

The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
Question 19*	'The impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental.' Discuss.  AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the impacts caused by tectonic hazards.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the impacts caused by tectonic hazards.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the impacts caused by tectonic hazards.  Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the impacts caused by tectonic hazards.  O marks No response or no response worthy of credit.  AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of whether impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental.  Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks  Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the impacts caused by tectonic hazards could potentially include:  • Political:  • Government declaring state of emergency  • Ordering military to assist with recovery  • Accepting or requesting international aid Investment in recovery and mitigation  • Undermine stability of governments, regional and national  • Economic  • Cost including reconstruction  • Disruption to power supplies  • Damage to infrastructure  • Widespread debris requiring mechanical removal  • Disruption to economic activities e.g. agriculture, manufacturing and services e.g. loss of production  • Fall in stock market  • Fall in value of currency  • Increased insurance costs post-event  • Environmental  • New relief e.g. new land created from lava flows, relief changed as steep sided valleys infilled, or crevices created from seismic shift, change in slope due to lahar or mass movement, land moves with seismic activity  • Change in weather patterns due to ash
	understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic		<ul> <li>Change in weather patterns due to ash in the atmosphere</li> <li>Increase in acid rain from emission of Sulphur dioxide</li> </ul>
	rather than political or environmental.  Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.		<ul> <li>Earth shifts of axis due to large seismic movement e.g. Tōhoku 2011</li> <li>Tsunamis triggered – coastal and inland</li> </ul>

# Level 3 (13-18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of whether impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental.

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

## Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of whether impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

# Level 1 (1-6 marks)

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of whether impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental.

Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental.

- flooding: shoreline erosion: sediment flows
- Change in altitude of shoreline e.g. northern Honshu | 0.6m

#### AO2 - 24 marks

Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate whether impacts of tectonic hazards are mainly economic rather than political or environmental could potentially include:

- Economic impacts are easily measured by looking at cost of repair or recovery e.g. Japanese earthquake cost \$235 billion, Kobe earthquake cost \$100 billion. Northridge USA cost \$42 billion. Significance of cost indicates extent of impact.
- Some economic impacts are also political or environmental e.g. ash fall from Mount Merapi destroyed the rice harvest
- Environmental impacts could outlast other impacts because land has been created or shifted which is more than we can do e.g. island of Honshu moved 2.4m east during the Great East Japan earthquake 2011 – this is something that only another earthquake can affect and would potentially out last any economic impact
- Political impacts could be linked to development e.g. Haiti is still recovering from the earthquake in 2010 and this is still affecting rates of tourism which have significant economic implications. In comparison. Japan has widely recovered however Fukashima is an exclusion zone until 2023.
- Expect wide range of justification and case studies.
- Candidates may evaluate by ability to recover, duration of impact, size of population affected by impact, scale of impact etc
- Responses do not have to include both seismic

and volcanic examples. Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. Quality of extended response Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured

way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the

relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
20*	All types of plate boundaries generate tectonic hazards for people.  To what extent are those at convergent boundaries the most damaging?	33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24	Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards generated at plate boundaries could potentially include:
	Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards created at plate boundaries.  Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the tectonic hazards created at plate boundaries.  Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the tectonic hazards created at plate boundaries.		<ul> <li>Divergent plate boundaries         <ul> <li>Seismic activity along transform faults</li> <li>Uprising of magma creating shield volcanoes</li> </ul> </li> <li>Conservative plate boundaries         <ul> <li>Friction causing seismic activity</li> </ul> </li> <li>Convergent plate boundaries;         <ul> <li>Faulting and fracturing in the Benioff zone causing seismic activity</li> <li>Subduction causing basaltic magma to melt and rise to form strato-volcanoes releasing explosive eruptions</li> </ul> </li> <li>AO2 – 24 marks         <ul> <li>Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which tectonic hazards generated at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with tectonic hazards created at other plate boundaries could potentially include:</li></ul></li></ul>
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the tectonic hazards created at plate boundaries.  0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.		
	Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of whether tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries.		
	Demonstrates <b>comprehensive</b> application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which tectonic hazards created at convergent plate		lahars caused widespread disruption. 367 killed, 277 injured and 410,000 made homeless. Communications disrupted as ash and pyroclastic flows destroyed infrastructure.  Ridges of diverging boundaries on average

boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries.

Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.

# Level 3 (13-18 marks)

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of whether tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people t compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries

Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries

Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.

# Level 2 (7-12 marks)

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of whether tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries.

Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries.

Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.

## Level 1 (1-6 marks)

- 2.5m below ocean surface so hazards limited
- Comparison with diverging boundaries causing effusive eruptions occur here. leading to shield volcanoes which are often erupting under the ocean, and have little effect on people.
- Earthquakes tend to be shallow with less strength caused as magma rises through the chamber and the vent
- However, Evafiallaiökull, Iceland 2010 (VEI) 4), which disrupted European airports shut for several days in April 2010 costing airlines £130 million a day, tourists stranded, many goods spoiled as fruit and flowers were left to rot in exporting nations in Africa and the Caribbean
- Comparison with hazards created at conservative boundaries e.g. San Andreas Fault. People here experience earthquakes on a regular basis, in July 2019 earthquakes were occurring once a minute, with one at 7.1, and one at 6.4 on the Richter scale. No major impacts reported.
- Measures of 'more damaging' must be linked to people e.g. accept environmental impacts that are discussed in terms of use by people e.g. recreation / tourism

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of whether tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries.

Demonstrates **basic** application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which tectonic hazards created at convergent plate boundaries are the most damaging to people compared with hazards created at other plate boundaries

Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately.

#### 0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.

## Quality of extended response

#### I evel 4

There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated

#### Level 3

There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.

## Level 2

The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.

## Level 1

The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
The Triangle Building
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA

## **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

# **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: <a href="mailto:general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk">general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</a>

# www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

